

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/531,703	03/20/2000		Suresh Kumar	249768029US	6170	
25096	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKINS C			POINVIL, FRANTZY			
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 124	=			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	VA 9811	1-1247	3628			

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/531,703	KUMAR, SURESH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Frantzy Poinvil	3628	

Advisory Action	09/531,703	NUMAR, SURESH					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
• •							
IE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set fo), to avoid dismissal o orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	of the appeal. a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monamont	(1, 102 02 1).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessated. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allares	noo haaayees				
See Continuation Sheet.			nce pecause.				
 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 3. Other:	. (F 10/36/06 01 F 10-1449) Paper	140(5).					
		Frantzy Poinvil Primary Examiner	·				
j		Art Unit: 3628					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not convicing as they were addressed in the prior Office action.